

From:Chris Doak Architect
To:Local Review Body

LOCAL REVIEW BODY APPLICATION No.19/0006:63 JOHN STREET,HELENSBURGH.

Dear Sir/Madam,

With regard to the Additional Information from the Planning Authorities,submitted to the Local Review Body on 13 December 2019,I would like to offer my comment:

POINT 2:

It is unfair of the Planning Authorities to attempt to link our Application to the unauthorised replacement windows at 67 John Street,Helensburgh.These windows have nothing to do with the Applicants or the Agent.Our Application is for the installation of sliding sash windows at 63 John Street - and not for the installation of single units with stick-on astragals.

POINT 3:

63 John Street is not a Listed Building.Why is it unacceptable to put in patio doors at the back of the house,and allow access to a private rear garden? We are sensitively proposing to extend an existing window opening down to floor level,and we are not forming a wider opening. Equally,why is it not acceptable to put a new window opening in the side wall? There are already two windows in this wall,and the new one matches them in proportion,opening method,and external finish.

POINT 4:

As for Point 2:it is again unfair to associate us with the single replacement window installed at no.65,and which is not the same type and specification as we propose.The Applicant wishes to replace all of their windows - and they will all match.

I trust that the Board finds my comments to be valid.

Regards,

Chris Doak

Chartered Architect